

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 2003P08063US 4143 10/673,390 09/29/2003 Mark Bernard Hettish EXAMINER 04/13/2006 Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator PADMANABHAN, KAVITA **Siemens Corporation** PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830

2161 DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extraction of time may be availated used the provisions of 30° Rft 1:300, in no event, nower, may a reply be timely filled.  If NO period for reply is apposited above, the maximum statutory pared will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Faults or legit with the set or exented period for righy is apposited above, the maximum statutory pared will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if sinely filed, may reduce any earned parent time adjustment. See 3 °C FR 1.794(6).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 29 September 2003.  230 This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 °C.D. 11, 453 °O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are as subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filled on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 °C FR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some °C  None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Navis Padmanabhan   2161   1.20   1	Office A - 41 - 11 October 1991	10/673,390	HETTISH ET AL.	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estensions of increase available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.136(s), in no event however, way a reply be timely field the St (s) (b) MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  Falue to being which the set or already state of this communication.  Falue to being which the set or already state of this communication.  Falue to being which the set or already state of the field of the set of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any current plantial men adjustment.  Falue to being which the set or already state of the field of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any current plantial men adjustment.  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) field on 29 September 2003.  23 □ This action is FINAL.  20 □ This action is FINAL.  20 □ This action is final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) field on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) field on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  10) □ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Offi	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  ***article** A SHOWN MONTHS from the real	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1:130(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely find after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 37 GFR 1:130(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely find after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the strength of the provision of the provision of the strength of the provision of the	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2003  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>			
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  3)  All   b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/25/03.	Status			
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All   b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Se	eptember 2003.		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)**  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  4) Intornation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:				
Algorithm of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * ○ □ None of: □ □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)**  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) □ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) □ Notice of Traftspers	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
Al) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)**  **Description**  **Attachment(s)**  **Description**  **Application**  **Application**  **Application**  **Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  **Paper No(s)/Mail Date  **Di Notice of Prafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  **Di Notice of Torfasperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  **Di Notice of Torfasperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  **Di Notice of Torfasperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  **Di Notice of Drafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(e)*  **Di Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  **Di Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  **Di Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  **Di Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  **Di Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) □ Other:	Disposition of Claims			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  D Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/03.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  D) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Application Papers			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/03.  A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/03.  A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:	Attachment(s)			
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite	

Art Unit: 2161

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-21 are pending.

2. Claims 1-21 are rejected.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

3. The following items on the information disclosure statement filed 9/29/03 have not been considered as to their merits because the document numbers do not match the listed applicant name: Cite No. I and K.

## Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

It is suggested that the repeated word "that" be removed at page 4, line 11.

Appropriate correction is required. The citations above are not meant to be exhaustive, and are provided as examples. The applicant is advised to correct other similar errors as required throughout the specification.

Art Unit: 2161

### Claim Objections

5. Claims 10-11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 10 recites "a first context" and "said new context" in lines 2-3 of the claim.

It is suggested that these be changed to --a first identity context-- and --said new identity context-- to be consistent with other claims, such as claims 1, 2, and 3, for example.

Similarly, **claim 11** recites "said new context" in line 2 of the claim. It is suggested that this be changed to --said new identity context-- to be consistent with other claims, as explained above.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2161

7. **Claim 13** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "said step of providing data indicative of said device context" in lines 2-3 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The examiner will apply prior art to this claim as best understood in light of the above rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

8. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

9. Claims 1-7, 12-17, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a test of whether the invention is categorized as a process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter and if the invention produces a useful, concrete and tangible result. Mere ideas in the abstract

Art Unit: 2161

(i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a method claim to pass muster, the recited process must produce a useful, concrete and tangible result.

In the instant case, claims 1-7 and 12-17 recite a method but the method claimed does not produce a useful, concrete and tangible result.

For example, claim 1 results in mapping a new identity context to a device context. This is merely an abstract idea and does not conclude in an actual result. Claims 2-7 and 12-17 are similarly nonstatutory. Claims 8-11 appear to overcome the deficiencies of the claims from which they depend.

Claim 20 recites an article of manufacture comprising a computer readable medium having instructions that, when executed, cause a processor to perform a method that is substantially the same as the method recited in claim 1, and is similarly nonstatutory.

Claim 21 recites an apparatus comprising a processor, a communication port, and a storage device storing instructions that perform a method that is substantially the same as the method recited in claim 1. This is equivalent to reciting a computerimplemented method, as it is merely form over substance. As a result, claim 20 is nonstatutory for the same reasons given for claim 1 as explained above.

Art Unit: 2161

The examiner will apply prior art to these claims as best understood, with the assumption that applicant will amend to overcome the stated 101 rejections.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Diacakis et al. (US 2002/0116336, hereinafter "Diacakis").

In regards to claim 1, Diacakis teaches a method, comprising the steps of:

- receiving a request to make a change to a new identity context for an identity
   (Diacakis; par [0031], lines 18-21; par [0034], lines 8-18; par [0046], lines 4-7; par [0048], lines 1-2); and
- mapping said new identity context to a device context for a device associated with said identity (Diacakis; par [0031], lines 18-25; Fig. 2; par [0032]; par [0034], lines 8-18; par [0035], lines 1-9; par [0045]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 2, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step of receiving said request to make said change to said new identity context for said identity includes receiving said request from an identity context oriented application (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 8-18; Fig. 4).

In regards to claim 3, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said step of mapping said new identity context to said device context for said device associated with said identity includes determining said device (Diacakis; par [0031], lines 18-25; Fig. 2).

In regards to claim 4, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 3, wherein said step of mapping said new identity context to said device context for said device associated with said identity includes determining said device context associated with said device (Diacakis; par [0031], lines 18-25; par [0045]; Fig. 8).

In regards to **claim 5**, **Diacakis** teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said step of mapping said new identity context to said device context for said device associated with said identity includes accessing a mapping table (**Diacakis**; **Fig. 2**).

In regards to **claim 6**, **Diacakis** teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of:

- determining said device (Diacakis; par [0031], lines 18-25; Fig. 2).

In regards to **claim 7**, **Diacakis** teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of:

determining said device context for said device (Diacakis; par [0031], lines 18 25; par [0045]; Fig. 8).

In regards to **claim 8**, **Diacakis** teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of:

- providing data indicative of said device context (Diacakis; Fig. 8).

In regards to **claim 9**, **Diacakis** teaches the method of claim 8, wherein said step of providing data indicative of said device context includes providing said data indicative of said device context to a presence and availability service (**Diacakis**; **Fig. 4**; **Fig. 8**).

In regards to **claim 10**, **Diacakis** teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of:

changing an identity context for said identity from a first context to said new context in response to said request (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 8-18; par [0046], lines 4-7; par [0048], lines 1-2).

In regards to **claim 11**, **Diacakis** teaches the method of claim 10, further comprising the step of:

providing data indicative of said new context (Diacakis; Fig. 8).

In regards to **claim 12**, **Diacakis** teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of:

registering with a presence and availability service (Diacakis; par [0031]).

In regards to **claim 13**, **Diacakis** teaches the method of claim 12, wherein said step of providing data indicative of said device context includes providing said data indicative of said device context to said presence and availability service (**Diacakis**; **Fig. 8**).

In regards to **claim 14**, **Diacakis** teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising steps of:

- detecting a new device context for a second device, wherein said second device is associated with a second identity (Diacakis; Fig. 8; par [0056], lines 9-17;
   par [0062], lines 1-12); and
- mapping said new device context to an identity context for said second identity
   (Diacakis; Fig. 8; par [0056], lines 9-17; par [0062], lines 1-12).

In regards to **claim 15**, **Diacakis** teaches the method of claim 14, wherein said step of detecting said new device context for said second device includes detecting said new device context in a presence and availability service (**Diacakis**; **Fig. 4**).

In regards to claim 16, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 14, wherein said step of detecting said new device context for said second device includes receiving a request to change said second device's device context (Diacakis; par [0029], lines 4-7; par [0031]; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0053], lines 6-11; par [0057]).

In regards to claim 17, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 14, wherein said step of mapping said new device context to said identity context for said second identity includes determining said second identity (Diacakis; Fig. 8; par [0056], lines 9-17; par [0062], lines 1-12).

Claim 18 is rejected with the rationale given for claims 8 and 10, taken together.

Claim 19 is rejected with the rationale given for claim 9.

Claim 20 is rejected with the rationale given for claim 1.

Claim 21 is rejected with the rationale given for claim 1.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2161

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Kavita Padmanabhan** whose telephone number is **571-**

**272-8352**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kavita Padmanabhan Assistant Examiner AU 2161

April 10, 2006

UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER